

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/786,000	02/15/2001	Vadim V. Mikhaylenko	MIK.0102	1688
759	90 02/08/2002			
Margaret H. Ef	fron		EXAMI	NER
Draughon Profes Suite 2000	ssional Association		BARR, MIC	CHAEL E
One Independen Jacksonville, FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	И
	•		DATE MAILED: 02/08/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/786,000	Applicant(s) MiKhaylenKo	etali
Examiner Barr	Group Art Unit	

Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
	Dari 1762
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address —
Period for Reply	1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. But, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Itatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Inailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	pt for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to
□ Claim(s) /- 7	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s) /- 7	is/are objected to
Claim(s) /- 7	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
Claim(s) /- 7  Application Papers	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is  approved  disapproved.
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is  approved  disapproved.
Application Papers  □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is  approved  disapproved.
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is  approved  disapproved.
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  r under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  runder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  r under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  value and application or election requirement  are subject to restriction or election requirement
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  r under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No.  nts have been received  nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  r under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No.  nts have been received  nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is paperoved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No.  nts have been received  nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No.  ints have been received  all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  Interview Summary, PTO-413
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ected to by the Examiner  runder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  received.  received in Application No.  nts have been received  all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. -

Application/Control Number: 09/786,000

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-6, drawn to an apparatus for applying setting material, classified in class
     118, subclass 300.
  - II. Claim 7, drawn to a method for applying setting material, classified in class 427, subclass 430.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of Group II can be used in a materially different process other than that of Group I, such as a filtering or application of a non-setting material, such as a cleaner.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the search for one group is not required for the other group(s), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Jeffrey Maynard on January 31, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/786,000

Art Unit: 1762

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Barr whose telephone number is 703-305-7919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Michael Barr

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1762